Lattice Strong Dynamics for Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

David Schaich

BU Physics and CCS

MIT Lattice Club 20 October 2010

Motivation and outline

- Physics beyond the standard model may be strongly coupled
- Strongly-coupled gauge theories need not resemble QCD
- Lattice gauge theory can provide non-perturbative information
- Brief review of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking
 - Basics
 - Problems
 - Solutions?
- New strong dynamics on the lattice
- 3 Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration results
 - LSD philosophy and simulation details
 - Chiral condensate enhancement
 - S parameter

For references, cf. arXiv:0812.2035 & Lattice 2010 plenary by L. Del Debbio

(Extended) technicolor in a picture



P. Vranas, LLNL

(Extended) technicolor in words

- Replace scalar Higgs bosons with new strongly interacting sector
- SU(N_c) gauge theory with N_f "technifermions" T
- Chiral symmetry breaking from $\langle \overline{T}T \rangle |_{\Lambda_{TC}} \sim \Lambda_{TC}^3 \sim (1 \text{ TeV})^3$ also breaks $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$ with $v \sim F \sqrt{N_D}$
- SM fermions q acquire masses from "extended" interactions



Integrate out ETC gauge bosons at scale M_{ETC} : $m_q \sim$

$$\frac{\left.\left\langle \overline{T}T\right\rangle \right|_{M_{ETC}}}{M_{ETC}^{2}}$$

Wasn't technicolor ruled out a decade ago?

Technicolor models face three main challenges:

- The *S* parameter (a problem of TC)
- FCNCs vs. SM fermion masses (a problem of ETC)
- The top quark mass (a really big problem)

Problem 1: The S parameter (briefly)

S measures BSM contributions to electroweak physics (more later)

- Experimentally, $S \lesssim 0$
- In TC, two contributions to *S*, **both positive**:
- Techni-hadronic contribution $\sim 0.3 rac{N_f}{2} rac{N_c}{3}$ ("voodoo QCD")

Pseudo Nambu–Goldstone bosons' contribution

$$\sim rac{1}{12\pi} \left(rac{N_f^2}{4} - 1
ight) \log \left(rac{M_{
ho_T}^2}{M_{
m PNGB}^2}
ight)$$

Apparent tension with experiment worsens as N_c , N_f increase

 (χPT)

Problem 2: FCNCs vs. SM fermion masses

Integrating out ETC gauge bosons produces four-fermion operators that provide both SM fermion masses and FCNCs



FCNCs required by CKM mixing, limit obtainable SM fermion masses.



 M_{ETC}

LSD for EWSE

Problem 2: FCNCs vs. SM fermion masses Example: $(\Delta M_K)|\epsilon_K| \lesssim 8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow M_{ETC}^{(s)} \gtrsim 16,000 \text{ TeV}.$

Using renormalization group and voodoo QCD $\gamma(\mu) \sim \mathcal{O}(\alpha(\mu)) \ll 1$,

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \overline{T}T \right\rangle \Big|_{M_{ETC}} &= \left\langle \overline{T}T \right\rangle \Big|_{\Lambda_{TC}} \exp\left(\int_{\Lambda_{TC}}^{M_{ETC}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma(\mu) \right) \approx \left\langle \overline{T}T \right\rangle \Big|_{\Lambda_{TC}} \sim (1 \text{ TeV})^3 \\ m_s &\sim \frac{\left\langle \overline{T}T \right\rangle \Big|_{M_{ETC}}}{\left(M_{ETC}^{(s)} \right)^2} \lesssim \frac{(1 \text{ TeV})^3}{(10^4 \text{ TeV})^2} \sim 0.1 \text{ MeV} \end{split}$$





 M_{ETC}

"Walking" Technicolor

Suppose $\gamma(\mu) \sim 1$, so that

$$\begin{split} \langle \overline{T}T \rangle \big|_{M_{ETC}} &= \langle \overline{T}T \rangle \big|_{\Lambda_{TC}} \exp\left(\int_{\Lambda_{TC}}^{M_{ETC}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma(\mu)\right) \approx \langle \overline{T}T \rangle \big|_{\Lambda_{TC}} \left(\frac{M_{ETC}}{\Lambda_{TC}}\right)^{\gamma} \\ m_{s} &\lesssim 1 \text{ GeV} \end{split}$$

 $\gamma(\mu) \sim 1$ for $\Lambda_{TC} \lesssim \mu \lesssim M_{ETC}$ implies large, slowly-running ("walking") coupling, small β function.



David Schaich (BU Physics and CCS)

Walking Technicolor: not just Wishful Thinking?

- Strongly-coupled gauge theories can look very different than QCD
- With many fermions, theory has perturbative IR fixed point; it is in a conformal phase with no spontaneous χSB
- The **conformal window** ranges from loss of asymptotic freedom to some (unknown) critical $N_f^c < N_f^{AF}$
- With $N_f \lesssim N_f^c$, may be approximately conformal (walking!) for some range of

for some range of scales

Visualization of conformal window for $SU(N_c)$ fermions in fundamental rep:



Strong coupling \Rightarrow lattice!

Problem 3: The top quark mass



The top quark mass weighs like a nightmare on the brains of technicolor theorists...

... and is beyond the scope of this talk.

Even with $\gamma(\mu) \approx 1$:

$$m_t \sim 0.1 \text{ TeV} \sim rac{\left\langle \overline{T} T
ight
angle \Big|_{M_{ETC}^{(t)}}}{\left(M_{ETC}^{(t)}
ight)^2} \lesssim rac{\left\langle \overline{T} T
ight
angle \Big|_{\Lambda_{TC}}}{\Lambda_{TC} M_{ETC}^{(t)}}$$
 $M_{ETC}^{(t)} \lesssim 10 \text{ TeV}$

 \Rightarrow

Outline (reminder)

Brief review of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking

- Basics
- Problems
- Solutions?

2 New strong dynamics on the lattice

Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration results
 LSD philosophy and simulation details

- Chiral condensate enhancement
- S parameter

What can the lattice contribute?

A wishlist from Lattice 2010 (S. Chivukula):



To date, most effort has focused on the phase diagram that is, searching for conformal windows

Searching for conformal windows



How to search for conformality?

Many methods, cf. Lattice 2010 plenary by L. Del Debbio for more info

- Step scaling: search for fixed point in running coupling Many possible couplings: Schrödinger functional, heavy-quark potential, twisted Polyakov loop or Creutz ratio...
- Spectrum: contrast conformal vs. QCD-like, check scaling with quark mass $m^{1/(1+\gamma)}$ or lattice size L
- Monte Carlo renormalization group two-lattice matching
- Finite-temperature phase diagram

(deconfinement and chiral transitions)

Eigenvalue distributions

The challenge: TeV-scale phenomenology



- Beyond classifying theories as QCD-like or (approximately) conformal, need to connect to TeV-scale phenomenology
- *S* parameter, spectrum, $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$ understanding dependence on *N_c*, *N_f* and fermion representation



(CERN)

Outline (reminder)

Brief review of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking

- Basics
- Problems
- Solutions?

2 New strong dynamics on the lattice

Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration results

- LSD philosophy and simulation details
- Chiral condensate enhancement
- S parameter

LSD Collaboration

Argonne James Osborn Boston Ron Babich, Richard Brower, Saul Cohen,



Claudio Rebbi, DS

- Fermilab Ethan Neil
- Harvard Mike Clark
- Livermore Mike Buchoff, Michael Cheng, Pavlos Vranas
- UC Davis Joseph Kiskis
 - Yale Thomas Appelquist, George Fleming, Meifeng Lin,

Gennady Voronov

Formed in 2007 to pursue non-perturbative studies of strongly interacting theories likely to produce observable signatures at the Large Hadron Collider.

LSD Philosophy and Simulation Details

- Start from what we know (QCD) and use it as a baseline \Rightarrow *SU*(3) gauge theory with *N*_f =2, 6, 10 fundamental
- Use large (matched) cutoff to observe running $\Rightarrow \beta = 2.7 (2f);$ 2.1 (6f); 1.95 (10f) $\Rightarrow a^{-1} \approx 3.6 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \approx 5M_{\rho};$ $a \approx 0.06 \text{ fm};$ $L = 32a \approx 1.8 \text{ fm};$ $M_PL \gtrsim 4$
- Exploratory calculations
 ⇒~ 1000 trajectories per point

• We don't know the answer

⇒ Use domain wall fermions for chiral and flavor symmetries $L_s = 16$: $m_{res} \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$ (2f); 8×10^{-4} (6f); 2×10^{-3} (10f)

Anything not yet on the arXiv should be considered PRELIMINARY

DWF are expensive, even for exploratory calculations



\sim 300M core-hours on LLNL BGL, USQCD clusters, NSF Teragrid...

David Schaich (BU Physics and CCS)

LSD for EWSE

Matching scales



 $N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 6$ scales all matched at 10% level

Outline (reminder)

Brief review of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking

- Basics
- Problems
- Solutions?

2) New strong dynamics on the lattice

Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration results
 LSD philosophy and simulation details

- Chiral condensate enhancement
- *S* parameter

Chiral condensate enhancement: preliminaries

- Search for enhancement through $\left<\overline{\psi}\psi\right>/F^3$
- Not RG invariant: keep cutoff fixed in physical units
- Focus on the ratio *R* of $\left<\overline{\psi}\psi\right>/F^3$ between $N_f=6$ and $N_f=2$

$$R = \frac{\left(\left\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \right\rangle / F^{3}\right)_{6f}}{\left(\left\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \right\rangle / F^{3}\right)_{2f}} = \frac{\exp\left(\int_{M_{\rho}}^{5M_{\rho}} \left. \frac{\gamma(\mu)}{\mu} \right|_{6f} d\mu\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{M_{\rho}}^{5M_{\rho}} \left. \frac{\gamma(\mu)}{\mu} \right|_{2f} d\mu\right)}$$

MS perturbation theory & perturbative conversion to lattice scheme predicts R = 1.27(7)

Enhancement of $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi\rangle/F^3$, $N_f = 2$ to $N_f = 6$

Find significant enhancement compared with perturbative R = 1.27(7)



NLO χ PT fits, $N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 6$



• NLO χ PT fits work for $N_f = 2$ but not $N_f = 6$ (lighter m_f required)

• GMOR
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle}{F_{\pi}^{3}} = \frac{M_{\pi}^{3}}{\sqrt{(2m)^{3}\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle}} = \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{2mF_{\pi}} \equiv \mathcal{R} \text{ as } m \to 0$$

• Fit ratios to $\mathcal{R}\left[1 + \widetilde{m}(\alpha_{XY10} + \alpha_{11}\log\widetilde{m})\right]$ where $\widetilde{m} \equiv \sqrt{m_2m_6}$

Pseudo Nambu–Goldstone boson mass



• Slope of M_P^2 with *m* significantly larger for $N_f = 6$

• Switch to plotting versus M_P^2 , to provide more physical comparison

Vector and axial spectrum



Signs of $N_f = 6$ parity-doubling as M_P^2 decreases \Rightarrow implications for *S* parameter?

Outline (reminder)

Brief review of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking

- Basics
- Problems
- Solutions?

New strong dynamics on the lattice

Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration results
 LSD philosophy and simulation details

- Chiral condensate enhancement
- S parameter

S parameter: more details

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma \cdots \gamma = i \ e^{2} \ \Pi_{QQ} \ g^{\mu\nu} + \cdots \\ &\Pi_{VV} = 2\Pi_{3Q} \\ &\Pi_{AA} = 4\Pi_{33} - 2\Pi_{3Q} \\ &\Pi_{AA} = 4\Pi_{AA} \\$$

 ΔS_{SM} removes the Higgs boson contribution,

also cancels IR divergence from massless $\pi_{\mathcal{T}}$

Domain wall currents and correlators

Need to use *conserved* domain wall currents $V^{\mu a}$ and $A^{\mu a}$ (point-split, summed over the fifth dimension)

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{V-\mathcal{A}}(Q) = Z \sum_{x} e^{iQ \cdot (x+\widehat{\mu}/2)} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\left\langle \mathcal{V}^{\mu a}(x) V^{\nu b}(0) \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{A}^{\mu a}(x) \mathcal{A}^{\nu b}(0) \right\rangle \right]$$

- V^{νa} and A^{νa} are local currents defined on the domain walls
- Conserved currents ensure that lattice artifacts cancel, needed for clean signal
 RBC-UKQCD
- $\langle \mathcal{V}^{\mu a}(x) \mathcal{V}^{\nu a}(0) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{A}^{\mu a}(x) \mathcal{A}^{\nu a}(0) \rangle$ require $\mathcal{O}(L_s)$ inversions
- Suffices to use $\langle \mathcal{V}^{\mu a}(x) V^{\nu a}(0) \rangle$
- Renormalization constant Z computed nonperturbatively Z = 0.85 (2f); 0.73 (6f); 0.71 (10f)

Ward identities and violations





$$\left[\sum_{x} e^{iQ\cdot(x+\widehat{\mu}/2)} \left(\left\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mu}^{a} V_{\nu}^{a}
ight
angle - \left\langle \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{a}
ight
angle
ight)
ight] \widehat{Q}_{
u} pprox 0$$



$$\widehat{Q}_{\mu}\left[\sum_{x}e^{iQ\cdot x}\left\langle V_{\mu}^{a}(x)V_{\nu}^{a}(0)
ight
angle
ight]
eq0$$



 $\left[\sum_{x} e^{iQ\cdot x} \left(\left\langle V_{\mu}^{a} V_{\nu}^{a} \right\rangle - \left\langle A_{\mu}^{a} A_{\nu}^{a} \right\rangle \right) \right] \widehat{Q}_{\nu} \neq 0$



LSD for EWSB

Correlator data and fits



Independent fits to (1, 2) Padé, $Q^2 < 0.4$

Fits stable with $\chi^2 \ll 1$ as Q^2 fit range varies

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1 Q^2}{1 + b_1 Q^2 + b_2 Q^4} = \left[-F_0^2 + \frac{Q^2 F_1^2}{M_1^2 + Q^2} - \frac{Q^2 F_2^2}{M_2^2 + Q^2} \right]_{F_0^2 = F_1^2 - F_2^2}$$

Fit results for $\Pi'_{V-A}(0)$, $N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 6$

2f in red 6f in blue



ΔS_{SM} with $m_f > 0$

- ΔS_{SM} cancels IR divergence from massless π_T
- With $m_f > 0$, need IR cutoff $4M_P^2 > 0$ on ΔS_{SM} spectral integral
- For $N_f = 2$, ΔS_{SM} and π_T continue to cancel as $m_f \rightarrow 0$
- For $N_f > 2$, extra $N_f^2 4$ pseudo Nambu–Goldston bosons receive masses from other interactions
- Set reference Higgs mass $M_{H}^{ref} = \lim_{m \to 0} M_V \equiv M_{V0} \sim 1$ TeV

$$\Delta S_{SM} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{4M_P^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{M_{V0}^2}{s}\right)^3 \Theta(s - M_{V0}^2) \right]$$

Numerically, $\Delta S_{SM} \lesssim$ 0.04, at most 10% reduction

S parameter, $N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 6$



Recap

- Physics beyond the standard model may be strongly coupled
- Strongly-coupled gauge theories need not resemble QCD
- Lattice gauge theory can provide non-perturbative information

For SU(3) gauge theory with $N_f = 6$ compared to $N_f = 2$ The LSD Collaboration has found:

- Significant enhancement of the condensate $\left<\overline{\psi}\psi\right>/F^3$
- S parameter smaller than naïve scaling

Further studies underway:

• $N_f = 10$

- Dirac operator eigenvalue spectrum
- Effects of finite volume, topology

• *SU*(2)

• . . .

OPE for Π_{V-A}

Bonus slides!

Experiments find $S \lesssim 0$

Extract S from global fit to experimental data for

- Z decay partial widths and asymmetries
- Deep inelastic neutrino scattering

- $\blacktriangleright M_W/M_Z$
- Atomic parity violation

1.00 $\Gamma_7, \sigma_{had}, R_1, R_2$ asymmetries 0.75 scattering 0.50 F 158 0.25 0.00 -0.25 -0.50 all: M., = 117 GeV -0 75 all: M., = 340 GeV all: M. = 1000 GeV -1.00 -0 0.00 0.25 0.50 1 25 S

Result: $S \leq 0$

(PDG)

Anomalous dimension

From "rainbow approximation" to "gap" (Schwinger–Dyson) equation

Assume spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking when

$$lpha(\mu) \geq rac{\pi}{\mathbf{3C_2(r)}} \equiv lpha_{\chi SB}$$

When $\alpha(\mu) = \alpha_{\chi SB}$, this gives $\gamma(\mu) = 1$

Perturbative Yang–Mills β function

For $SU(N_c)$ Yang–Mills theory with N_f fermions in representation r

$$\beta(g) = \beta_0 g^3 + \beta_1 g^5 + \beta_2 g^7 + \cdots$$

$$\beta_0 = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{11}{3} N_c - \frac{4}{3} N_f C(r) \right)$$

$$\beta_1 = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^4} \left[\frac{34}{3} N_c^2 - \left(\frac{13}{3} N_c - \frac{1}{N_c} \right) N_f C(r) \right]$$

$$C(N) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 $C(Adj) = N_c$ $C_2(N) = \frac{d(Adj)}{d(N)}C(N) = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c}$

Domain wall fermions



NLO χ PT for general N_f

$$\begin{split} \frac{M_P^2}{2m} &= B \left\{ 1 + \frac{2mB}{(4\pi F)^2} \left[\alpha_m + \frac{1}{N_f} \log\left(\frac{2mB}{(4\pi F)^2}\right) \right] \right\} \\ F_P &= F \left\{ 1 + \frac{2mB}{(4\pi F)^2} \left[\alpha_F - \frac{N_f}{2} \log\left(\frac{2mB}{(4\pi F)^2}\right) \right] \right\} \\ \left\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \right\rangle &= F^2 B \left\{ 1 + \frac{2mB}{(4\pi F)^2} \left[\alpha_C - \frac{N_f^2 - 1}{N_f} \log\left(\frac{2mB}{(4\pi F)^2}\right) \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

α_C includes "contact term" mΛ² ~ ma⁻²
 NNLO M²_P coefficients enhanced by N²_f

(Bijnens & Lu, 2009)

Goldstone decay constant



Joint NNLO χ PT fit to $N_f = 2 F_P, M_P^2, \langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$

Chiral condensate



Joint NNLO χ PT fit to $N_f = 2 F_P$, M_P^2 , $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$ Linear term clearly dominant Very preliminary $N_f = 10$ condensate enhancement



P. Vranas, LLNL

Vector and axial decay constants



Conserved and local domain wall currents

Conserved currents:

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mu a}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{L_s-1} j^{\mu a}(x,s) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}^{\mu a}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{L_s-1} \operatorname{sign}\left(s - \frac{L_s-1}{2}\right) j^{\mu a}(x,s)$$

$$j^{\mu a}(x,s) = \overline{\Psi}(x+\widehat{\mu},s)rac{1+\gamma^{\mu}}{2} au^{a}U^{\dagger}_{x,\mu}\Psi(x,s)
onumber \ -\overline{\Psi}(x,s)rac{1-\gamma^{\mu}}{2} au^{a}U_{x,\mu}\Psi(x+\widehat{\mu},s)$$

Local currents:

$$egin{aligned} V^{\mu}(x) &= \overline{q}(x) \gamma^{\mu} au^a q(x) & A^{\mu}(x) &= \overline{q}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 au^a q(x) \ & q(x) &= P_L \Psi(x,0) + P_R \Psi(x,L_s-1) \end{aligned}$$

Single-pole approximations to Π_{V-A}



S in χ PT, for $N_f = 2$

$$S = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left(\frac{\overline{\ell}_5}{f_5} + \log \left[\frac{m_\pi^2 \frac{v^2}{f_\pi^2}}{M_H^2} \right] - \frac{1}{6} \right)$$

 $\overline{\ell}_5$ is extracted from

Gasser & Leutwyler, 1984

$$\Pi_{V-A}^{\perp}(q^2) = -F_{\pi}^2 + q^2 \left[\frac{1}{24\pi^2} \left(\overline{\ell}_5 - \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{2}{3} (1+x) \overline{J}(x) \right]$$
$$\overline{J}(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{1+x} \log \left[\frac{\sqrt{1+x}-1}{\sqrt{1+x}+1} \right] + 2 \right), \quad x \equiv 4M_{\pi}^2/q^2$$

- As discussed above, χPT inapplicable for $N_f = 6$
- General- N_f corrections for $\overline{\ell}_5$ not yet known
- Must take only two flavors to the chiral limit,

any others remain massive

Comparing Padé and OPE, $N_f = 2$

As $Q^2
ightarrow \infty$,



Corrections to the first Weinberg sum rule, $N_f = 2$



 Q^4 term in numerator of (2, 2) Padé is small

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1 Q^2 + a_2 Q^4}{1 + b_1 Q^2 + b_2 Q^4} = \left[-F_0^2 + \frac{Q^2 F_1^2}{M_1^2 + Q^2} - \frac{Q^2 F_2^2}{M_2^2 + Q^2} \right]$$